

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

**BLOMOUIST** 

Examiner:

Zoila E. Cabrera

Serial No.:

10/087,449

Group Art Unit:

2125

Filed:

**FEBRUARY 28, 2002** 

Docket:

14485.141US01

Due Date:

July 2, 2004

Confirmation

9068

No.:

Title:

PROGRAMMABLE MEDICAL INFUSION PUMP

**CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8;** 

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1350, Alexandria VA 22313-1450 on April 18, 2005.

By. Name: John C. Reich

## **RESPONSE**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The applicants provide the following amendments and remarks in response to the office action mailed on October 18, 2004. No claims have been amended, and claims 1-25 are currently pending. In view of the following remarks, the applicant respectfully requests advancement of this application to allowance.

Claims 1-25 stand rejected as being anticipated by Estes et al. (US 2003/0114836). The applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

## 1. Claims 1-7 and 9-11

The office action cites three new passages in maintaining this rejection. However, these passages still do not teach the claimed method and apparatus for generating a table on the computer, entering an operating parameter into at least one of the cells, and downloading the operating parameters into the pump.

For example, page 6, paragraph 60, lines 6-8 of Estes teaches, "The event markers can be logged into the pump and stored for later downloading or entered directly into the running software program." This passage teaches downloading from a pump to a PC